

Stimulated and unstimulated saliva glucose and flow rate in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus

Yasmin Sheikhhassani¹ , Mina Khayamzadeh² , Iraj Mirzaii-Dizgah^{3*} , Hesam Sanaei⁴ 

1. DDS, Department of Pediatrics, Dental Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Science, Mashhad, Iran; Yasminsheikhhassani@yahoo.com.
2. DDS, Department of Oral Medicine, Dental Research Center, School of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; mkhayamzadeh@yahoo.com.
3. PhD, Department of Physiology, School of Medicine, Aja University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; emirzaii@alumnus.tums.ac.ir.
4. DDS, Bouvardia Medical Center, Salmiya, Kuwait; Sanaeihesam@yahoo.com.

Article Info.

ABSTRACT

Article type:

Research Article

Received: 12 Sep 2022

Revised: 5 Dec 2021

Accepted: 29 Dec 2021

Published: 6 March 2022

Keywords:

Diabetes Mellitus,
Glucose,
Saliva

Background and Objective: The level of fasting blood sugar (FBS) must be regularly monitored in diabetic patients. Unlike blood, saliva sampling is a noninvasive technique, saliva glucose was evaluated in controlled and uncontrolled type 1 diabetes mellitus (T₁DM) children in this study.

Methods: In this cross-sectional study, 40 uncontrolled T₁DM and 40 controlled T₁DM children were enrolled. The FBS and stimulated and unstimulated saliva glucose was assayed by the GOD-POP method and analyzed by an unpaired Student's t-test.

Findings: Serum and stimulated saliva glucose levels were higher in the uncontrolled T₁DM than that in the controlled T₁DM. However, there was no significant difference in unstimulated saliva between the two groups. Serum glucose had a positive correlation with unstimulated ($r=0.403$; $p<0.001$) and stimulated ($r=0.232$; $p=0.005$) salivary glucose levels. The stimulated and unstimulated salivary flow rate was significantly lower in uncontrolled T₁DM.

Conclusion: Stimulated salivary glucose level similar to serum was higher in uncontrolled T₁DM than in controlled T₁DM children. Therefore, it may be suitable as an alternative for serum glucose to monitor T₁DM patients.

Cite this article: Sheikhhassani Y, Khayamzadeh M, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Sanaei H. Stimulated and unstimulated saliva glucose and flow rate in children with type 1 diabetes mellitus. *Caspian J Pediatr* March 2022; 8(1): 643-7.



© The Author(s).

Publisher: Babol University of Medical Sciences

Introduction

Diabetes is a type of metabolic disorder ^[1]. Type 1 diabetes mellitus (T₁DM) is called insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus ^[2]. The average annual incidence of T₁DM is increasing. The disease begins most often between the ages of 7 and 15 years, although it can occur at any age. No preventative factors for T₁DM are known yet. The annual incidence of T₁DM in Iran is estimated at 3.7 cases per 100,000 people ^[3]. Important complications of diabetes that can be mentioned are neuropathy, nephropathy, ocular and coronary heart disease ^[4].

*Corresponding Author: Iraj Mirzaii-Dizgah (PhD);

Address: Department of Physiology, Aja University of Medical Science, Tehran, 14117-18541, IR Iran.

Tel: +98 2143822209

Fax: +98 2188337921

E-mail: emirzaii@alumnus.tums.ac.ir

To minimize the risk of an illness, the level of glucose in diabetic patients must be monitored regularly; taking blood samples from the patient is one of the most common methods for controlling the level of blood sugar, which is a stressful and invasive technique. On the other hand, some patients have hemorrhagic and coagulation complications that can make it difficult to get blood from them [5, 6]. In recent years, researchers introduced oral fluids as a tool for assessing health and disease status [7-9]. Diagnosis of the disease through saliva analysis can be especially important for children and the elderly, as saliva collection is less difficult than blood sample collection [6]. Studies have shown that serum glucose level in patients with uncontrolled diabetic children is significantly higher than that in controlled diabetic children [10, 11]. It has been shown that the level of glucose in the saliva of children with diabetes is greater than in healthy children [12-14]. The aim of this study was to obtain a correlation between blood glucose and saliva glucose in children with uncontrolled and children with controlled T₁DM to assess whether saliva glucose may indicate the severity of the disease to help for follow up of T₁DM.

Methods

Study design and subjects

In a cross/sectional study 40 uncontrolled T₁DM [glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) values between 7.5 and 11.0% or fasting blood sugar greater than 200 mg/dl], and 40 controlled T₁DM children, who were conducted to the children's hospital medical center of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, were enrolled. Patients were free from any other systemic illness, did not have any other obvious oral lesion, and were not previously treated for any salivary gland disorder.

After giving explanations on the implementation of the plan, written consent was obtained from the parents. Demographic characteristics and medical history of patients were recorded.

Data collection

Saliva and blood were taken between 8-11 am in a fasting state. Blood samples (2.5cc) were taken by laboratory experts and poured into 2 tubes for measuring blood glucose and the percentage of HbA1c. Unstimulated saliva samples of patients were collected by spitting method in a microtube. For stimulated saliva samples, patients were requested to chew a piece of sugar-free chewing gum for 2 minutes and swallow saliva. After 2 minutes, drain the saliva into another microtube. The flow rate was calculated as saliva volume (ml) divided into the duration of saliva sampling (minute). Serum and saliva samples were frozen at -20°C to measure glucose by the Enzymatic colorimetric GOD-PAP method and HbA1c by the immunoturbidimetric method using a photometer and attributed kits (Pars Azmun, Karaj, Iran), according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Statistical Analysis

Mean \pm standard error mean (SEM) has been used to show the results of the research on quantitative variables with normal distribution. Statistical analysis was performed by unpaired Student's t-test. Pearson correlation analysis was used to evaluate the correlation between serum and salivary glucose. In all analyses, the significance of the results is P-value < 0.05.

Results

There was no significant difference in sex between uncontrolled (15 boys, 25 girls) and controlled (12 boys, 28 girls) T₁DM children and also in age between controlled (9.3 \pm 2.0) and uncontrolled (10.0 \pm 2.4) T₁DM children (P > 0.05).

The mean serum glucose and HbA1c levels were higher in the uncontrolled T₁DM than that in the controlled T₁DM (table1). Mean stimulated salivary glucose level was lower in the controlled T₁DM than that in the uncontrolled T₁DM (Table1). However, there was no significant difference between the two groups in mean unstimulated salivary glucose levels (Table1). The present study revealed positive correlations between serum glucose level and unstimulated salivary glucose level ($r=0.403$; $p<0.001$) and also between serum glucose level and stimulated salivary glucose level ($r=0.232$; $p=0.005$). A significantly positive correlation was observed between serum glucose level and serum HbA1c level ($r=0.609$; $p<0.001$).

Stimulated and unstimulated salivary Flow rate levels were greater in controlled T₁DM compared to uncontrolled T₁DM (table1).

Table 1. Serum and saliva levels of glucose, HbA1c; and saliva flow rate in uncontrolled and controlled type 1 diabetes mellitus (T₁DM).

Variables	Uncontrolled T ₁ DM	Controlled T ₁ DM	P value
Fasting blood sugar (FBS) (mg/dL)	265.6±15.9	186.4±14.8	0.0001*
Unstimulated saliva glucose (mg/dL)	8.9±3.7	8.4±2.2	0.319
Stimulated saliva glucose (mg/dL)	9.2±2.3	2.5±0.4	0.0001*
Blood HbA1c (%)	11.2±0.6	7.1±0.2	0.0001*
Unstimulated saliva flow rate (ml/min)	0.15±0.02	0.18±0.01	0.040*
Stimulated saliva flow rate (ml/min)	0.19±0.01	0.22±0.01	0.045*

Data are expressed as mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM); $P < 0.05$

Discussion

Current study showed that stimulated salivary glucose level similar to serum was higher in uncontrolled T1DM than controlled T1DM children. Many studies conducted on diabetic people are mostly related to adults; however, there are few reports about children [10, 12-14]. In the current study, we studied two groups of controlled and uncontrolled T₁DM. The results showed that saliva and serum levels of glucose were higher in uncontrolled T1DM children, which is in agreement with the results of Panchbhai et al. [11] and Harrison et al. [10].

In the ongoing study, there was a great correlation between serum glucose and salivary glucose. This correlation was found in the study of Satish et al., also in the study of Panchbhai et al., and other previous studies [5, 11, 14-18]. In the study of Gupta et al., which evaluated the relationship between glucose and saliva in diabetic patients in 2015, this correlation was not present [6]. The probability of not having a relationship in this study may be due to the use of a blood glucometer for measuring blood and it has a low accuracy than our measurement method. Also, a study by Aydin S, showed that salivary glucose was measured by glucose oxidase in 40 diabetic patients and 20 healthy patients and found no significant association between salivary glucose and blood glucose [19]. This may have been due to the low sensitivity of the glucose test kit. The study of Abikshyeet et al. reported a very significant relationship between HbA1c levels and salivary glucose, which was similar to the current study results [20]. Moreover, similar results were obtained in studies conducted by Satish et al. and Mahdavi et al [5, 17]. In one study conducted by Sashikumar et al., the correlation between HbA1c levels and salivary glucose was not present [21].

Stimulated and unstimulated salivary flow rate levels were greater in controlled T₁DM compared to uncontrolled T₁DM. Several studies have shown a significant reduction in salivary flow rate in diabetic people [22- 24]. The decreased salivary flow or dry mouth that occurs in diabetes can be due to several reasons, can be the penetration of fat cells into the salivary glands, physical changes in mucous cells after dehydration due to polyuria, microvascular disease, local inflammation, and irritation in the oral cavity, infections, metabolic disorders, neuropathy affecting the salivary glands, medication for diabetes or concomitant medications [11]. Therefore, polyuria causes dehydration and consequently reduces the flow rate of saliva. Harrison et al. found that the

stimulated salivary flow rate of uncontrolled diabetic children was significantly lower than controlled diabetic and non-diabetic children [10]. However, there was no significant relationship between controlled and uncontrolled diabetic patients in the study of Panchbhai et al. [11].

Limitations of the study

One of the limitations for this study may be the sample size, so a larger sample size may be needed to determine the cut-off point.

Conclusion

Stimulated salivary glucose level similar to serum was higher in uncontrolled T₁DM than in controlled T₁DM children. Therefore, it may be helpful to diagnose and monitor T₁DM patients.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge Aja University of Medical Sciences for their support.

Ethical approval

The Ethics Committee of Tehran University of Medical Sciences approved the study (No: IR.TUMS.REC.1395.2595).

Funding

This study was self-funded.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

References

1. Ozougwu JC, Obimba KC, Belonwu CD, Unakalamba CB. The pathogenesis and pathophysiology of type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Physiol Pathophysiol* 2013; 4(4): 46-57.
2. Arora KS, Binjoo N, Reddy GVR, et al. Determination of normal range for fasting salivary glucose in type1 diabetics. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent* 2015; 5(5): 377-82.
3. Hassabi M, Rabbani A, Sotoodeh A. Exercise in type 1 diabetic patients. *Iran J Pediatr* 2005; 15(3): 261-70.
4. Varon F, Mack-Shipman L. The role of the dental professional in diabetes care. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2000; 1(2): 1-27.
5. Mahdavi SO, Boostani N, Ghanei A, Solimani A. Evaluation of FPG by salivary glucose in diabetic patients. *J Mash Dent Sch* 2014; 37(4): 319-28. 10.22038/JMDS.2013.1919.
6. Gupta A, Singh SK, Padmavathi BN, et al. Evaluation of Correlation of blood glucose and salivary glucose level in known diabetic patients. *J Clin Diagn Res* 2015; 9(5): ZC106-9.
7. Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Mirzaii-Dizgah MR, Mirzaii-Dizgah MH. Stimulated Saliva Glucose as a Diagnostic Specimen for Detection of Diabetes Mellitus. *J Arch Mil Med* 2013; 1(1): 24-7.
8. Monfared YK, Mirzaii-Dizgah MR, Khodabandehloo E, et al. Salivary microRNA-126 and 135a: a potentially non-invasive diagnostic biomarkers of type- 2 diabetes. *J Diabetes Metab Disord* 2021; 20(2): 1631-8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-021-00914-z>.
9. Mirzaii-Dizgah MH, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Mirzaii-Dizgah MR. Oral glucose tolerance test in unstimulated saliva of healthy individuals. *Eur J Gen Dent* 2016; 5(1): 15-8.
10. Harrison R, Bowen WH. Flow rate and organic constituents of whole saliva in insulin-dependent diabetic children and adolescents. *Pediatr Dent* 1987; 9(4): 287-91.

11. Panchbhai AS, Degwekar SS, Bhowte RR. Estimation of salivary glucose, salivary amylase, salivary total protein and salivary flow rate in diabetics in India. *J Oral Sci* 2010; 52(3): 359-68.
12. Belazi MA, Galli-Tsinopoulou A, Drakoulakos D, et al. Salivary alterations in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Int J Paediatr Dent* 1998; 8(1): 29-33.
13. López ME, Colloca ME, Páez RG, et al. Salivary characteristics of diabetic children. *Braz Dent J* 2003; 14(1): 26-31.
14. Khayamzdeh M, Mirzaii-Dizgah I, Rostami P, et al. Stimulated and Unstimulated Saliva Glucose Levels in Children Suffer Insulin-Dependent Diabetes Mellitus. *Ann Mil Health Sci Res* 2017; 15(2): e64373.
15. Navale AM, Paranjape AN. Glucose transporters: physiological and pathological roles. *Biophys Rev* 2016; 8(1): 5-9.
16. Panchbhai AS. Correlation of salivary glucose level with blood glucose level in diabetes mellitus. *J Oral Maxillofac Res* 2012; 3(3): e3.
17. Satish BN, Srikala P, Maharudrappa B, et al. Saliva: A tool in assessing glucose levels in Diabetes Mellitus. *J Int Oral Health* 2014; 6(2): 114-7.
18. Patel BJ, Dave B, Dave B, et al. Comparison and correlation of glucose levels in serum and saliva of both diabetic and non-diabetic patients. *J Inter Oral Health* 2015; 7(8): 70-7.
19. Aydin S. A comparison of gherlin, glucose, alpha-amylase and protein levels in saliva from diabetes. *BMB Reports* 2007; 40(1): 29-35.
20. Abikshyeet P, Ramesh V, Oza N. Glucose estimation in the salivary secretion of diabetes mellitus patients. *Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes* 2012; 5: 149-54. doi: 10.2147/DMSO.S32112.
21. Sashikumar R, Kannan R. Salivary glucose levels and oral candidal carriage in type II diabetics. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2010; 109(5): 706-11. doi: 10.1016/j.tripleo.2009.12.042.
22. Tenovuo J, Lehtonen OP, Vkari J, et al. Immunoglobulins and innate antimicrobial factors in whole saliva of patients with insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Dent Res* 1986; 65(1): 62-6.
23. Meurman JH, Collin HL, Niskanen L, et al. Saliva in non-insulin-dependent diabetic patients and control subjects: The role of the autonomic nervous system. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 1998; 86(1): 69-76.
24. Collin HL, Niskanen L, Uusitupa M, et al. Oral symptoms and signs in elderly patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. A focus on diabetic neuropathy. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod*. 2000; 90(3): 299-305.